

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Clough
Given Name	David
Person ID	1287362
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Clough
Given Name	David
Person ID	1287362
Title	JPA 4: Bewshill Farm
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	This seems a suitable site for development, close to motorway network with no surrounding housing to site and with some existing aligned industrial activity.
Family Name	Clough
Given Name	David
Person ID	1287362
Title	JPA 5: Chequerbent North
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	This seems a suitable site for development, close to motorway network with no surrounding housing to site and with some existing aligned industrial activity.
Family Name	Clough
Given Name	David
Person ID	1287362
Title	JPA 6: West of Wingates / M61 Junction 6
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Bolton Council havent been listening to local resident concerns on the loss of greenbelt in this site and are actively stating that this is a good deal for Bolton but infact this is a bad deal for Westhoughton with nearly 90% of Boltons Greenbelt loss and 10% of Gtr Manchester. This will irreversibly change the character of the area which is currently farming land.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Although I feel this site could accommodate some industrial development as an extension of Wingate Ind Est and there is already a passed application which would be the limit of where the site should be developed. 450 acres is far too much greenbelt loss and more needs to be done to guarantee the protection of a high proportion of the site in the form of a country park. The local residents would also like to see more community amenity in return for the greenbelt loss, with a number of options to improve footpaths and cylceways and connect the site to local nature reserve Borsdane woods. There area is currently rural farming land and supports lots of wildlife and nature and in the site has numerous copse of historic trees which need protecting. This site is far to big to be lost and needs to be phased over a long period of time and needs an agreed plan which needs to be developed by residents, councillors, planners and the developer.
Family Name	Clough

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Given Name	David
Person ID	1287362
Title	Bolton - Green Belt Additions
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	I fully agree with this however more needs to be done to reduce the greenbelt loss in the West Of Wingates site.